

JEFFREY F. KELLER (148005)  
JADE BUTMAN (235920)  
KELLER GROVER LLP  
425 Second Street, Suite 500  
San Francisco, CA 94107  
Telephone: (415) 543-1305  
Facsimile: (415) 543-7861

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Maria I. Prohias

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

MARIA I. PROHIAS, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware  
Corporation,

Defendant.

) Case No. C 05-2699 (MHP)

) **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**  
) **ORDER TO STAY DATES, EVENTS AND**  
) **DEADLINES PENDING THE OUTCOME**  
) **OF THE MOTION TO TRANSFER AND**  
) **COORDINATE OR CONSOLIDATE**  
) **PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407**

WHEREAS, on June 30, 2005, Plaintiff filed the instant action in the Northern  
District of California ("Prohias Action");

WHEREAS, on or about July 11, 2005, the plaintiffs in Brauch, et al. v. Intel  
Corp., No. C 05-2743 (BZ) (N. D. Cal., filed July 5, 2005), a related matter, moved before the  
Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation ("MDL"), to transfer and coordinate or consolidate for  
pre-trial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 ("MDL Motion"), and the Prohias Action has  
been identified as a related action to that motion;

WHEREAS, on or about July 27, 2005, Judge Patel issued a Related Case Order  
relating this case to an earlier filed case assigned to her, and canceling or staying certain but not

1 all dates, events and deadlines in the action;

2 WHEREAS, to date, a decision has not been rendered on the MDL Motion;

3 WHEREAS, the outcome of the MDL Motion will impact significantly the  
4 schedule of this case;

5 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2,  
6 by and among counsel for Plaintiff Prohias, and counsel for Defendant Intel Corporation, that  
7 any events, dates or deadlines set by the Local Rules or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,  
8 including the Local Rules for Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR Local Rules”) and Federal  
9 Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26, and any deadlines established in any case management order  
10 applicable to this case should likewise be stayed pending the outcome of the aforementioned  
11 MDL Motion; and

12 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED by the aforementioned parties that if a case  
13 management conference is rescheduled by the Court, the parties shall adjust the dates for any  
14 conference, disclosures or reports required by the Local Rules or the Federal Rules of Civil  
15 Procedure, including the ADR Local Rules and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26  
16 accordingly.

17  
18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED.

19 Dated: October 28, 2005

20 Keller Grover LLP

21  
22 By: /s/ Jeffrey F. Keller

23 JEFFREY F. KELLER

24  
25 Attorneys for Plaintiff Prohias

Bingham McCutchen LLP

By: /s/ Joy Fuyuno

JOY K. FUYUNO

Attorneys for Defendant  
Intel Corporation

**[PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY DATES, EVENTS AND DEADLINES  
PENDING THE OUTCOME OF THE MDL MOTION**

Any events, dates or deadlines set by the Local Rules or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including the Local Rules for Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR Local Rules”) and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26, and any deadlines established in any case management order applicable to this case are hereby stayed pending the outcome of the motion to transfer and coordinate or consolidate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 (“MDL Motion”).

Upon the determination of the MDL Motion, if it is necessary for the Court to reschedule a case management conference, the parties shall adjust the dates for any conference, disclosures or reports required by the Local Rules or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including the ADR Local Rules and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26 accordingly.

The parties shall notify the Clerk of the Court within 10 days of the decision on the MDL Motion.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Marilyn H. Patel  
United States District Court Judge